UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,032	03/30/2000	Samir Kumar Brahmachari	KNS3.001AUS	7985
	7590 09/15/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTH	REET	CLOW, LORI A		
IRVINE, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/539,032	BRAHMACHARI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LORI A. CLOW	1631	

	LORI A. CLOW	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bett 	**	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3 1 3 3					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Col	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Amendment (10L-32+).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	7						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		i be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	I NOT I II II II II II	Per 6 11					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see below. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Lori A. Clow, Ph.D./						
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 remain rejected under 35 USC 102(b) for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action. Applicant argues that "the presently claimed invention relates to the generation of overlapping peptide sequences of length 'N' from selected pathogenic organisms and comparing these peptides to host organism protein sequences to determine which of these conserved sequences are not present in host proteins. This results in identification of exactly matched conserved peptides". Applicant contends that in contrast, "Bruccoleri identifies approximate matches of conserved protein regions". This is not persuasive as the claimed invention does not recite a result of the method which is an "exact match". Applicant is reminded that limitations from the specification are not read in to the claims. Therefore, the claims remain rejected